UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,056	09/30/2003	Emerson P. Jones	06878.114502	7752
32361 GREENBERO	7590 08/10/2007 G TRAURIG, LLP		EXAMINER	
MET LIFE BUILDING			MERCHANT, SHAHID R	
200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10166		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3694	
		·		
•			· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	. 08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/676,056	JONES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Shahid R. Merchant	3694				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	1				
Period for Reply	,					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMM 36(a). In no event, however, r vill apply and will expire SIX (6, cause the application to become	UNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .					
·= :-	: 					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 10 is/are withd	rawn from considerat	ion.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 11-16</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremer	t.				
	·					
Application Papers	·. ,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		shinatad ta hutha Fuanciana				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2007</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	·				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S	S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.c	3 1 13(a) (a) 51 (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
application from the International Bureau	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies	s not received.				
		•				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>July 6, 2007</u> .	5) 🔲 Noti	ce of Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on July 6, 2007. Claims 1-16 are pending. Claims 2 and 10 are canceled. Claims 1, 5, 9 and 13 have been amended.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed July 7, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to claims 1 and 9, Applicant argues that Nikolai does not teach an iterative calculation by changing at least the value of the stock price associated with the entity. Examiner disagrees. On page 1199, Nikolai teaches using an average market price of a common stock during a period to compute earnings per share. Nikolai also uses an end of the period market price of a common stock during a period to compute earnings per share. He repeats or iteratively calculates the earnings per share calculation using different stock prices. In regards to the stock options and warrants calculation, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the teachings of Nikolai and use stock prices other than average stock price because the substitution would have yielded predictable results to one skill in the arts.

Art Unit: 3694

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant states <u>iteratively changing at least the value of the stock price associated with the entity</u>, however the Earnings Per Share formula below

$$EPS = DPS_0 + \frac{Earnings_0 - N_o x DPS_0 - Coupon}{N_o + \Delta N_{eff}}$$

does not contain any variable which is associated with a price of a stock. Therefore, it is unclear how a change in stock price can change the earnings per share (EPS) using the formula above.

5. Claims 3-8 and 11-16 are rejected for incorporating the errors of their respective base claim dependency.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,056 Page 4

Art Unit: 3694

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 8-12 and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Intermediate Accounting</u>, 5th <u>Edition</u> by Loren Nikolai and John Bazley (see PTO-892, Ref. U). Hereinafter Nikolai and Bazley.
- 8. As per claim 1, Nikolai and Bazley teach a method for characterizing earnings of an entity, which method comprises the steps of:

inputting data associated with the entity including a number of common shares outstanding, a value of earnings, a value of dividends per share, a value of coupon payments and a change in the effective number of common shares outstanding, which change in the effective number of common shares outstanding reflects the possibility, based upon an economically reasonable analysis in light of market conditions including a value of a stock price associated with the entity, of conversion of a convertible security;

iteratively calculating a plurality of values of earnings per share associated with the entity based upon the input data, wherein each value of earnings per share is calculated at least in part using the formula

Art Unit: 3694

$$EPS = DPS_0 + \frac{Earnings_0 - N_o x DPS_0 - Coupon}{N_o + \Delta N_{eff}}$$

wherein Earnings₀ equals the input value of earnings, N_0 equals the input number of common shares outstanding, DPS₀ equals the input value of dividends per share, Coupon equals the input value of coupon payments, and ΔN_{eff} equals the input change in the effective number of common shares outstanding and wherein the iterative calculating the plurality of values of earnings per share is carried out by iteratively changing at least the value of the stock price associated with the entity;

iteratively calculating a plurality of values of earnings per share risk associated with the entity based upon at least a plurality of different numbers of shares outstanding; and

recording the calculated earnings per share values associated with the entity and the calculated earnings per share risk values associated with the entity (see Ref. U, Chapter 22, pages 1183-1205).

Nikolai and Bazley do not explicitly teach the automating (using of computer) of claim 1.

It was known at the time of the invention that merely providing an automatic means to replace a manual activity which accomplishes the same result is not sufficient to distinguish over the prior art, In re Venner, 262 F.2d 91, 95, 120 USPQ 193, 194 (CCPA 1958). For example, simply inputting numbers into a formula for calculating Earnings Per Share gives you just what you would expect from the manual step as

Art Unit: 3694

shown in Reference U (Nikolai and Bazley). In other words there is no enhancement found in the claimed calculation. The claimed calculation using a computer only provides automating the manual activity. A computer can simply calculate the formula faster. The result is the same.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary still in the art at the time of the invention to automate (use computer) the calculation of Earnings Per Share using the formula as described above because this would speed up the process of giving an investor data regarding an investment, which is purely known, and an expected result from automation of what is known in the art.

- 9. As per claim 3, Nikolai and Bazley teach the method of claim 1 as described above. Nikolai and Bazley further teach wherein the entity is a public corporation (see Ref. U, Chapter 22, pages 1183-1205).
- 10. As per claim 4, Nikolai and Bazley teach the method of claim 3 as described above. Nikolai and Bazley further teach wherein at least one of the calculated earnings per share values and the calculated earnings per share risk values is applied to a financial presentation relating to at least one of a balance sheet and an earnings per share metric (see Ref. U, Chapter 22, pages 1197-1198, Exhibit 22-7).
- 11. As per claim 8, Nikolai and Bazley teach the method of claim 3 as described above. Nikolai and Bazley further teach wherein the economically reasonable analysis

Art Unit: 3694

in light of market conditions takes into account a conversion premium associated with the convertible security (see Ref. U, Chapter 22, pages 1183-1205).

12. As per claim 9, Nikolai and Bazley teach a method implemented by a programmed computer system for characterizing earnings of an entity, which method comprises the steps of:

inputting data associated with the entity including a number of existing shares, a value of earnings, a value of an equity dividend, a value of an attributed after-tax interest expense from a convertible security, and a number of attributed shares from the convertible security, which number of attributed shares reflects the possibility, based upon an economically reasonable analysis in light of market conditions including a value of a stock price associated with the entity, of conversion of the convertible security;

iteratively calculating a plurality of values of earnings per share associated with the entity based upon the input data, wherein each value of earnings per share is calculated at least in part using the formula: *EPS=dividend per share+retained EPS*;

wherein dividend per share=the value of the equity dividend/the number of existing shares; and wherein retained EPS=(earnings without taking effect of any interest expense from the convertible security minus attributed after-tax interest expense from the convertible security)/(the number of existing shares plus the number of attributed shares from the convertible security);

Art Unit: 3694

iteratively calculating a plurality of values of earnings per share risk associated with the entity based upon at least a plurality of different numbers of shares outstanding; and

recording the calculated earnings per share values associated with the entity and the calculated earnings per share risk values associated with the entity;

wherein the iterative calculating the plurality of values of earnings per share is carried out by iteratively changing at least the value of the stock price associated with the entity. (see Ref. U, Chapter 22, pages 1183-1205).

Nikolai and Bazley do not explicitly teach the automating (using of computer) of claim 1.

It was known at the time of the invention that merely providing an automatic means to replace a manual activity which accomplishes the same result is not sufficient to distinguish over the prior art, In re Venner, 262 F.2d 91, 95, 120 USPQ 193, 194 (CCPA 1958). For example, simply inputting numbers into a formula for calculating Earnings Per Share gives you just what you would expect from the manual step as shown in Reference U (Nikolai and Bazley). In other words there is no enhancement found in the claimed calculation. The claimed calculation using a computer only provides automating the manual activity. A computer can simply calculate the formula faster. The result is the same.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary still in the art at the time of the invention to automate (use computer) the calculation of Earnings Per Share using the formula as described above because this would speed up the process of giving an

Art Unit: 3694

investor data regarding an investment, which is purely known, and an expected result

Page 9

from automation of what is known in the art.

13. As per claim 11, Nikolai and Bazley teach the method of claim 9 as described

above. Nikolai and Bazley further teach wherein the entity is a public corporation (see

Ref. U, Chapter 22, pages 1183-1205).

14. As per claim 12, Nikolai and Bazley teach the method of claim 9 as described

above. Nikolai and Bazley further teach wherein at least one of the calculated earnings

per share values and the calculated earnings per share risk values is applied to a

financial presentation relating to at least one of a balance sheet and an earnings per

share metric (see Ref. U, Chapter 22, pages 1197-1198, Exhibit 22-7).

15. As per claim 16, Nikolai and Bazley teach the method of claim 9 as described

above. Nikolai and Bazley further teach wherein the economically reasonable analysis

in light of market conditions takes into account a conversion premium associated with

the convertible security (see Ref. U, Chapter 22, pages 1183-1205).

16. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Intermediate Accounting, 5th Edition by Loren Nikolai and John Bazley (see PTO-892,

Art Unit: 3694

Ref. U). as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Makivic, U.S. Patent No. 6,061,662 (see attached PTO-892, Ref. A).

17. As per claim 5, Nikolai and Bazley teach the method of claim 1 as described above. Nikolai and Bazley do not explicitly teach wherein the iterations and calculations are carried out at least in part using a Monte Carlo simulation.

Makivic teaches wherein the iterations and calculations are carried out at least in part using a Monte Carlo simulation (see abstract).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Nikolai, Bazley and Makivic to use Monte Carlo simulation for iterations and calculations because it is very flexible and can be applied to almost any problem as taught by Makivic (see column 1, lines 41-47).

- 18. Claims 6 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Intermediate Accounting, 5th Edition by Loren Nikolai and John Bazley (see PTO-892, Ref. U). as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Official Notice.
- 19. As per claims 6 and 7, Nikolai and Bazley teach the method of claim 1 as described above. Nikolai and Bazley do not explicitly teach wherein the outputted calculated earnings per share values and the outputted calculated earnings per share

Art Unit: 3694

risk values are plotted against one another and wherein the plot of calculated earnings per share values versus calculated earnings per share risk values is credit adjusted.

Official Notice is taken that plotting two or more variables on a graph against one another is very common and well known in the art.

Therefore, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Nikolai, Bazley and Official Notice to plot variables on a graph against each other because it allows one to see a relationship between the variables.

- 20. Claim 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Intermediate Accounting, 5th Edition by Loren Nikolai and John Bazley (see PTO-892, Ref. U). as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Makivic, U.S. Patent No. 6,061,662 (see attached PTO-892, Ref. A).
- 21. As per claim 13, Nikolai and Bazley teach the method of claim 9 as described above. Nikolai and Bazley do not explicitly teach wherein the iterations and calculations are carried out at least in part using a Monte Carlo simulation.

Makivic teaches wherein the iterations and calculations are carried out at least in part using a Monte Carlo simulation (see abstract).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Nikolai, Bazley and Makivic to

Art Unit: 3694

use Monte Carlo simulation for iterations and calculations because it is very flexible and

Page 12

can be applied to almost any problem as taught by Makivic (see column 1, lines 41-47).

22. Claims 14 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Intermediate Accounting, 5th Edition by Loren Nikolai and John Bazley (see PTO-892.

Ref. U). as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Official Notice.

23. As per claims 14 and 15, Nikolai and Bazley teach the method of claim 1 as described above. Nikolai and Bazley do not explicitly teach wherein the outputted calculated earnings per share values and the outputted calculated earnings per share risk values are plotted against one another and wherein the plot of calculated earnings per share values versus calculated earnings per share risk values is credit adjusted.

Official Notice is taken that plotting two or more variables on a graph against one another is very common and well known in the art.

Therefore, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Nikolai, Bazley and Official Notice to plot variables on a graph against each other because it allows one to see a relationship between the variables.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,056 Page 13

Art Unit: 3694

Conclusion

24. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahid R. Merchant whose telephone number is 571-270-1360. The examiner can normally be reached on First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammel can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3694

Page 14

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SRM

ELLA COLBERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER